#### **Executive Summary**

NASA's sustainability policy is to execute the mission without compromising the planet's resources so that future generations can meet their needs. In the risk management culture of NASA, that means we endeavor to implement proactive measures to reduce NASA's exposure to environmental, institutional, programmatic, and operational risks. In doing so, we continuously improve the resilience of NASA's space and ground asset operations and performance. NASA will continue to integrate sustainability principles into existing policies and procedures to foster awareness, approaches, and actions for a more sustainable world. For example, the Agency's energy management program reduces risk to NASA's mission by minimizing potential energy insecurity while also increasing the cost-effectiveness of our scarce resources. Since 1995, NASA has reduced facility energy consumption by 28.2%, resulting in a cost avoidance of more than \$46.2 million in our fiscal year (FY) 2017 energy bill. Although this is impressive, and a great reflection of the hard work and dedication of our personnel, more remains to be done to maintain NASA's focus on cost-effective stewardship of our resources.

NASA remains committed to integrating sustainability practices into its operations in order to maintain a cost-effective agency dedicated to accomplishing its core missions. Current sustainability integration activities under way at NASA include the following:

- Technical capabilities assessments align and streamline required assets to fit NASA's mission and strategic goals;
- Master Plans and Capital Investment Plans inform construction and demolition investments to reduce life-cycle costs and ensure stewardship of the infrastructure required to support missions and capabilities;
- Building designs address different kinds of risks: operational and mission risks, such as direct mission risks (schedule, cost, technical); safety, security and health; legal requirements; and climate risks (short term, long term, and extreme events);
- Center plans and strategies incorporate technologies and best practices that enable them to identify and execute the most cost-effective energy initiatives, thus improving our energy security and reducing total life-cycle costs in support of our missions;
- A 10-year Strategic Energy Investment Plan supports NASA's mission by targeting resources to improve efficiency and effectiveness while achieving annual energy goals; and
- A land management policy incorporates flooding risks into facility renovation and construction investment evaluations.

#### Strategic Priorities for FY 2018-2020

NASA is committed to pursuing continuous improvement in its operations to achieve efficiencies wherever possible, save resources, and maintain cost-effectiveness that keeps ahead of inflation and rising energy unit costs. Three initiatives in particular highlight NASA's continuing commitment to responsibly stewarding public resources:

*Energy Efficiency Projects* – NASA's Strategic Energy Investment Plan (SEIP) prioritizes energy efficiency projects that decrease facility costs and improve reliability; the SEIP also provides guidance on implementing both appropriated and financed projects. In particular, the plan promotes bundling energy conservation measures into efficiency projects. Projects include heating, ventilation, and air conditioning

(HVAC) efficiency improvements, other mechanical system upgrades, chilled water and steam piping insulation replacement, lighting retrofits, energy management control system upgrades, and various other energy efficiency improvements. In addition, retro-commissioning efforts are underway to ensure top building performance and to identify new opportunities for improvement.

*Sustainable, High-Efficiency Buildings* – NASA continues to reduce the footprint of its portfolio of built assets through strategic demolition and consolidation efforts. The design of new or remodeled buildings minimizes long-term infrastructure energy, water, and maintenance costs. In FY 2018, NASA expects to add four new high performance facilities (with a total area of nearly 190,000 gross square feet (GSF)), thereby increasing the inventory of sustainable facilities to more than 3.2 million GSF. These buildings are the Wallops Flight Facility (WFF) Island Fire Station, the WFF Mission Operations Control Center, the Langley Research Center Katherine G. Johnson Computational Research Facility, and the Johnson Space Center Human Health and Performance Laboratory.

*Renewable Energy Projects* – The SEIP also identifies and prioritizes Centers' renewable energy and storage projects with a strong emphasis on improving the energy resiliency and security of critical mission elements and reducing life-cycle energy expenditures. New renewable energy initiatives include additional solar photovoltaic systems.

In all of these initiatives, NASA managers weigh several factors to maximize cost-effectiveness, including life-cycle costs, payback periods, and the value of long-term resiliency and security to avoid disruptions to mission activities. Furthermore, NASA's persistent pursuit of Energy Savings Performance Contracts and Utility Energy Service Contracts yields impressive life-cycle savings with reduced upfront investment costs for the Agency.

#### **Implementation Summary**

#### 1. Facility Management:

#### FACILITY ENERGY EFFICIENCY

Status FY 2017:

Reduced energy intensity (Btu/GSF) by 40% compared to 2003 Reduced energy intensity by 19% compared to 2015

Implementation Status	Operational Context	Priority Strategies &
		Planned Actions
NASA successfully achieved the	NASA is facing increasing challenges in	NASA will continue to
FY 2017 goal for energy intensity	reducing energy consumption. NASA	invest in life-cycle cost-
through energy conservation	excludes many mission-dependent	effective energy
measures and on-site renewable	facilities from the energy intensity metric	efficiency projects using
energy projects. NASA Centers	per guidance (e.g., Mission Control	all available funding
reduce energy consumption	Center at Johnson Space Center and	streams, including
through all available methods,	Spacecraft Systems Development and	appropriated funds,

including energy efficiency and	Integration Facility at Goddard Space	financing, and
renewable energy project	Flight Center); however, mixed use	Enhanced Use Lease
implementation; operations and	buildings are harder to classify. Without	Agreements.
maintenance best practices;	sub-metering in these buildings, we	Investments are guided
sustainable building design,	cannot estimate how energy intensity is	by the Agency SEIP.
construction, and renovation; and	impacted by mission activity that should	
employee training, outreach and	be excluded. Projects with the highest	In addition,
awareness.	rate of return on investment (e.g., light-	assessments for CHP
- NASA's first Combined Heat	emitting diode [LED] lighting upgrades or	implementation at
and Power (CHP) plant began	HVAC upgrades) have been completed at	other Centers are
full-time operations in spring	many Centers. Remaining potential	ongoing. Systems like
2018 at Johnson Space	conservation measures have longer	CHP increase energy
Center. This project saves	paybacks and/or are located in highly	efficiency, reduce
source energy and increases	specialized facilities. Thus, optimizing	greenhouse gas (GHG)
site-delivered energy.	energy efficiency and reducing utility	emissions, and improve
	costs will be increasingly difficult.	energy security.

### EFFICIENCY MEASURES, INVESTMENT, AND PERFORMANCE CONTRACTING

ESPC and UESC investment / number of projects FY 2017: \$0.0M / 0

#### **RENEWABLE ENERGY**

*Status FY 2017:* 

13.0% of total electricity consumed was from renewable sources

Implementation Status	Operational	Priority Strategies & Planned
	Context	Actions
NASA successfully exceeded its FY 2017 goal for		The Agency SEIP summarizes
10% Renewable Electric Energy. This is result of a		potential renewable energy
diverse mix of renewable sources and		projects (solar, wind, and storage)
technologies, including on-site electricity and		at each Center and provides
thermal energy generation or conversion, direct		estimates for economic viability of
purchases, a hosted solar photovoltaic (PV)		projects. Based on this analysis,
project, and renewable energy certificate		NASA is now strategically
purchases. On-site generation ranges from small		deploying funding to construct
solar parking lot lighting projects to larger		renewable energy projects that
geothermal heat pump systems and a 1 megawatt		provide the most economic and
(MW) solar PV system, with many additional		resilience benefit to the Agency.
projects in progress.		Other planned actions include
<ul> <li>Completed installation of geothermal heat</li> </ul>		continued efforts to work with
pumps at Glenn Research Center in FY 2017;		local utilities and/or adjoining
expect to complete two additional solar PV		military installations on potential
systems at White Sands Test Facility and		projects.
Kennedy Space Center. Will benefit from peak		
shaving, reduced energy costs, and increased		
energy security.		

#### WATER EFFICIENCY

*Status FY 2017:* 

Reduced potable water intensity (gal/GSF) by 38% compared to FY 2007 Potable water intensity increased 4% compared to FY 2016

Implementation Status	Operational Context	Priority Strategies & Planned Actions
NASA significantly exceeded its	About 97% of NASA water consumption	NASA Centers will
potable water intensity and	is reported as potable water. NASA	continue to assess their
industrial and landscaping water	continues to be challenged by	water distribution
consumption reduction goals in	fluctuations in mission tempo in	systems, conduct leak
FY 2017. Continued to	meeting this goal. A significant portion	detection audits,
implement major water	of success on this metric is due to a	replace/repair
infrastructure upgrades by	sharp reduction in consumption after	components, as
replacing aging distribution	the Space Shuttle Program ended	appropriate, and evaluate
systems, optimizing building	in FY 2011. Since then, NASA has	using or expanding the

### HIGH PERFORMANCE SUSTAINABLE BUILDINGS

Status FY 2017:14% of buildings met Guiding Principles21% of GSF met Guiding Principles

Implementation Status	<b>Operational Context</b>	Priority Strategies & Planned Actions
Three newly constructed high performance sustainable buildings totaling 165,000 square feet (sf) were completed in FY 2017. The three buildings—Johnson Space Center Health Clinic, Goddard Space Flight Center Flight Projects Building, and Marshall Space Flight Center Office Building—met the Guiding Principles for Sustainable Federal Buildings. In addition, two of the three facilities (GSFC Flight Projects Building and the JSC Health Clinic) received Leadership in Energy and Environmental Design (LEED) Gold ratings in FY 2017 and the MSFC Office Building earned a LEED certified rating.	Meeting the goals for sustainable facilities is dependent upon building commissioning requirements, construction schedules, the demolition program reducing NASA footprint, and verification of meeting the Guiding Principles. These issues greatly impact meeting the goals for FY 2018 and predicting goals for FY 2019.	In FY 2018, NASA Procedural Requirement 8820.2G, Facility Project Requirements, will undergo a thorough revision incorporating new project funding processes, new requirements for NASA High Performance Buildings, and other facilities project processes. In FY 2018, NASA expects to add four new high performance facilities (with a total area of about 190,000 GSF) therefore increasing inventory of sustainable facilities to more than 3.2 million GSF. Will update NASA's existing <i>Sustainable</i> <i>Facilities Training</i> course with current high performance and sustainable facility strategies emphasizing net zero requirements for new facility projects entering design phase. Plan to schedule one course offering in 2018.

### WASTE MANAGEMENT AND DIVERSION

Status FY 2017:

Diverted 59.5% of non-construction solid waste Diverted 92.6% of construction and demolition waste

Implementation Status	Operational Context	Priority Strategies & Planned
NASA continued to use several means to support and improve solid waste diversion efforts: a) Recycling and Sustainable Acquisition (RSA) Principal Center provides technical resources and implementation support for waste prevention, recycling, and sustainable acquisition; b) a web-based collaboration tool shares RSA work and organizes program activities and initiatives on both individual and team levels; c) Environmental Management Systems focus Center attention by tracking priority aspects that are measured and evaluated on a continual basis; and d) awards programs encourage the workforce, notably the Agency's Blue Marble Awards program and the EPA Federal Green Challenge.		NASA will continue to maintain and improve Agency websites, host a series of quarterly training opportunities for Agency participants, and maintain a tracking and reporting system for solid waste in the NASA Environmental Tracking System (NETS). During Procurement Management Reviews (PMR), NASA will complete a sample contract review for all applicable FAR clauses relating to waste management.

#### 2. Fleet Management:

#### **TRANSPORTATION / FLEET MANAGEMENT**

*Status FY 2017:* 

Reduced consumption of petroleum fuel by 66.8% compared to FY 2005 Reduced consumption of petroleum fuel by 8.8% compared to FY 2016; 36% of total Gasoline Gallon Equivalent (GGE) fuel was alternative fuel (same percentage as FY 2016)

Implementation Status	Operational Context	Priority Strategies & Planned Actions
The continued execution of NASA's Fleet		Plan to continue Vehicle Utilization Review
Management Plan maintained the		Board (VURB) process of reviewing and

### 3. Cross-Cutting:

### SUSTAINABLE ACQUISITION / PROCUREMENT

Status FY 2017:

Decreased percentage of sustainable contract actions by 1.0% compared to FY 2016

Increased percentage in value of contracts with sustainable clauses by 1.8% compared to FY 2016

Implementation Status	Operational	Priority Strategies & Planned
	Context	Actions
Continued to use several avenues to support		Continue to use the PMRs
and improve sustainable acquisition efforts: a)		performed at each NASA
perform Procurement Management Reviews		Center on a three-year review
(PMR) to evaluate sustainable acquisition		cycle. Use the lessons learned
within contracts and to identify root causes		from these reviews to inform
and potential corrective actions or support		development of specific training
needed to improve acquisition efforts; b)		tailored to spur continuous
Recycling and Sustainable Acquisition (RSA)		improvement at each Center in
Principal Center provides technical resources		FY 2018. Ensure that Federal
and implementation support to community-of-		Procurement Data System

ļ

### **ELECTRONICS STEWARDSHIP**

Status FY 2017:

Electronic Product Environmental Assessment Tool (EPEAT): All newly procured systems (PCs/Laptops and monitors) were, to the maximum extent practicable, EPEAT Gold with Power Management: 100% computers, laptops, and monitors had power management enabled

End of Life: 100% of electronics were disposed through GSA Xcess, Computers for Learning (CFL), Unicor or a Certified Recycler

Implementation Status	Operational Context	Priority Strategies & Planned Actions
NASA ensured procurement preference for EPEAT Gold-registered products, implemented policies to enable power management, duplex printing, and other energy- efficient features, employed environmentally sound practices with respect to the disposition of electronic products, and procured Energy Star and Federal Energy Management Program (FEMP) designated electronics.		Implement Agency-wide enterprise contract for purchase and maintenance of desktops, laptops, network printers, multifunction devices, and other computing devices. Enterprise contract contains energy efficiency clauses. Continue to implement standardized configurations to manage and monitor energy efficiency settings. Continue to ensure efficiencies in desktop service functions, costs, and compliance with federal initiatives. Continue normal refresh cycle for the Agency Consolidated End-User Services enterprise contract for procured office automation equipment, ensuring 100% compliance with EPEAT standards. Continue to ensure all NASA Enterprise IT Support and Services contracts contain clauses on environmentally sound practices for disposition of all Agency excess or surplus equipment.

# **GREENHOUSE GAS EMISSIONS**

Status FY 2017: Reduced Scope 1 & 2 emissions by 32.1% compared to 2008

Implementation Status	Operational Context	Priority Strategies & Planned Actions
NASA reduced Scope 1 and 2 GHG		NASA will advance efforts to continue reducing

emissions by 32.1% through FY 2017.	GHG emissions through life-cycle cost-effective
Scope 1 includes direct GHG	strategies. Scope 1 and 2 efforts include
emissions from sources owned by	investing in more efficient building equipment
NASA; Scope 2 includes direct GHG	(boilers, generators, furnaces), replacing or
emissions from purchased electricity,	renewing inefficient legacy buildings to
heat, or steam. Reducing purchased	standards that exceed required levels of
electricity and steam and lowering	efficiency, and reducing facility footprints to
on-site fossil fuel consumption were	maximum extent practical. NASA is assessing the
the biggest contributors to	installation of charging stations at Centers to
reductions.	accommodate employees who commute to
	work in privately-owned electric vehicles.

#### Notable Projects and Highlights

### Strategic Energy Investment Plan (2017)

In FY 2017, NASA's Office of Strategic Infrastructure (OSI) completed the first ever Agency-wide energy assessment, providing NASA leadership with a roadmap of energy efficiency and renewable energy projects that yields the highest return on investment and the greatest positive impact toward meeting federal energy mandates. The NASA Strategic Energy Investment Plan (SEIP) was developed in partnership with the U.S. Department of Energy (DOE) Federal Energy Management Program (FEMP), the National Renewable Energy Laboratory (NREL), and industry consultants. The SEIP effort leveraged partners' expertise and tools, like NREL's Renewable Energy Optimization (REopt) model and AECOM's *Sustainable Systems Integration Methodology* modeling platform to identify executable measures that reduce energy consumption, optimize renewable energy production, and improve resilience.

The SEIP was developed using a bottom-up and top-down approach. At the Center level, 10-Year Energy Conservation Performance Plans and Forecasts were developed. These 10-Year Plans provided key inputs into the top-down assessment that examined NASA's current energy baseline and identified energy strategies that offered the best energy reduction and highest cost savings for the Agency. This top-down assessment also used the REopt tool to identify, evaluate, and prioritize on-site renewable energy generation opportunities at each Center.

Results from the SEIP show the potential for significant cost avoidance and savings using a mix of NASA appropriated funding and financing to implement projects. Projections indicate that without additional energy project investments, the Agency will spend approximately \$419M more in utility, maintenance, and repair costs through FY 2035. NASA began programming projects for FY 2019 and FY 2020,

including a mix of energy efficiency and renewable energy, based on the results of the assessment.

### Training for U.S. Environmental Protection Agency Greenhouse Gas Reporting Requirements

The installation of a Combined Heat and Power unit at Johnson Space Center contributes significantly to energy use reduction goals for the Center and NASA. This energy efficient unit combusts natural gas and produces electricity, steam, and chilled water. This noteworthy



achievement carries some additional complications for JSC. While the overall GHG emissions associated with energy for JSC are reduced, the *on-site* emissions are now sufficient to trigger an Environmental Protection Agency GHG reporting requirement. NASA had a two-pronged response even before the CHP unit went online – deliver training to Center employees responsible for reporting and prepare a publically available handout to describe details about the new CHP unit and its features. NASA employees from GRC and JSC received training in March 2018 (photo above).

A six-panel handout (front cover shown below) describes how the CHP plant operates, the energy savings achieved through its operation, and relevant EPA greenhouse gas reporting requirements.



### New Office Building at Marshall Space Flight Center Boasts Many Sustainable Features

The Marshall Space Flight Center Office Building, over 28,000 sf, received LEED certification in FY2017. The facility employs bio-swales around the building to control storm water run-off and provides parking for low-emitting and government electric vehicle charging. The building reduced energy cost by 30.5% through an improved thermal envelope, high efficiency glazing, shading, occupancy

sensors for high efficiency LED lighting, and a variable air volume HVAC system. The building also underwent an enhanced

commissioning process. Additionally, 83% of construction debris was recycled, 24% of total building materials was manufactured using recycled materials, and 31% of building materials were manufactured within 500 miles of MSFC. The facility incorporates carbon dioxide monitoring in internal zones to ensure proper ventilation.



Low-emitting construction materials were installed and a pre-occupancy flush-out was performed. Temperature and humidity sensors were installed and commissioned permitting control of individual building zones to maintain thermal comfort within the ranges defined in ASHRAE 55-2004.